

Table 1A. Proposed Additions to the Section 303(d) List for the Lahontan Region					
Waterbody Name	Proposed Action	Pollutant(s) /Stressor(s)	TMDL Priority Ranking¹	TMDL End Date²	Comments
Lake Tahoe HU 634.00					
Upper Truckee River	Add to 303(d) List	Iron	Medium	After 2015	Standard needs revision
Upper Truckee River	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Upper Truckee River above Christmas Valley	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	High	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Big Meadow Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	High	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Heavenly Valley Creek below USFS property line	Add to 303(d) List	Sediment	Medium	After 2015	Restoration program may eliminate need for TMDL
Heavenly Valley Creek	Add to 303(d) list	Chloride	Low	After 2015	Standard needs revision
Heavenly Valley Creek above USFS property line	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Hidden Valley Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Hidden Valley Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Chloride	Low	After 2015	Standard needs revision
Trout Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Trout Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Iron	Medium	After 2015	Standard needs revision
Trout Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Trout Creek below Hwy 50 in S. Lake Tahoe	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	High	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Tallac Creek below Hwy 89	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	High	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Ward Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Ward Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Ward Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Iron	Medium	After 2015	Standard needs revision
General Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
General Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Iron	Medium	After 2015	Standard needs revision
Blackwood Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Blackwood Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Blackwood Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Iron	Medium	After 2015	Standard needs revision
West Fork Carson River HU 633.00					
West Fork Carson R., headwaters to Woodfords	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	
West Fork Carson R., headwaters to Woodfords	Add to 303(d) List	Percent Sodium	Medium	After 2015	Standard needs revision
West Fork Carson R., headwaters to Woodfords	Add to 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	After 2015	
West Fork Carson R., Woodfords to Paynesville	Add to 303(d) List	Percent Sodium	Medium	After 2015	Standard needs revision
West Fork Carson R., Woodfords to Paynesville	Add to 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	After 2015	
West Fork Carson R., Woodfords to State Line	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	Medium	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
East Fork Carson River HU 632.00					
Indian Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	Medium	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Monitor Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Sulfate	High	After 2015	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
Monitor Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Total Dissolved Solids	High	After 2015	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
East Walker River HU 630.00					
East Walker River above Bridgeport Reservoir	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	Medium	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
East Walker River below Bridgeport Reservoir	Add to 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with TMDL for Bridgeport Res.
East Walker River below Bridgeport Reservoir	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with TMDL for Bridgeport Res.
Robinson Creek, Hwy 395 to Bridgeport Res.	Add to 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with TMDL for Bridgeport Res.
Robinson Creek, Twin Lakes to Bridgeport Res.	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	Medium	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated

Table 1A. Proposed Additions to Lahontan Region 303(d) List , continued					
Waterbody Name	Proposed Action	Pollutant (s)/Stressor(s)	TMDL Priority Ranking ¹	TMDL End Date ²	Comments
East Walker River HU 630.00, continued					
Swauger Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	Medium	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Swauger Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with TMDL for Bridgeport Res.
Buckeye Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	Medium	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Buckeye Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Phosphorus	High	After 2015	To be coordinated with TMDL for Bridgeport Res.
Virginia Creek	Add to 303(d) List	Pathogens	Medium	After 2015	Standard for fecal coliform bacteria violated
Trona HU 621.00					
Searles Lake	Add to 303(d) List	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	Low	After 2015	Documented bird kills from industrial pollutants
Mojave HU 628.00					
Mojave River between Upper and Lower Narrows	Add to 303(d) List	Total Dissolved Solids	High	After 2015	Exceeds drinking water standard
Mojave River between Upper and Lower Narrows	Add to 303(d) List	Chloride	High	After 2015	Exceeds water quality objectives
Mojave River between Upper and Lower Narrows	Add to 303(d) List	Sulfate	High	After 2015	Exceeds water quality objectives

Footnotes for Table 1A. (The following footnotes were developed for Table 1, the master table containing all recommendations. Some of the information is not relevant to this subtable.)

¹TMDL priority rankings and end dates are shown only for water bodies recommended for inclusion in the 2002 list. The entry “NA” means “not applicable.”

² TMDL end dates are the estimated years for Regional Board adoption of Basin Plan amendments. Plan amendments incorporating TMDLs will not take effect unless and until they receive further approvals from the California State Water Resources Control Board, the California Office of Administrative Law, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

³ Water bodies are grouped by watersheds in north-to-south order. Watershed (Hydrologic Unit or HU) numbers are Department of Water Resources numbers used in the maps in the Lahontan Basin Plan, and do not run in north-to-south order.

⁴ The entry “Retain on 303(d) List” in the “Proposed Action” column means that this water body/pollutant combination is on the 1998 Section 303(d) list and is proposed to remain on the 2002 list. In some cases the nature of the pollutants or the extent of the impaired segment has been clarified. For example, earlier listings for “nutrients” or “organic enrichment/Low D.O.” may now be changed to separate listings for individual pollutants (nitrogen and phosphorus), and an earlier single entry for habitat alterations in the Owens River has been changed to three separate entries to reflect different segments of the river. Changes are recommended in priority rankings and TMDL end dates for many of the water body/pollutant combinations from the 1998 list.

⁵ Pending revisions to federal regulations for the implementation of Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act would clarify that TMDLs are not required for waters impaired by flow alterations, water/flow variability and habitat alterations, unless specific “pollutants” are also involved. (Load calculations are not feasible in cases where there are no pollutants.) Under the proposed new regulations, waters impaired by habitat or flow alterations, or by flow variability, would be placed on a separate list of impaired waters to highlight the need for control strategies other than TMDLs.

⁶Clarification of the nature of the pollutants has been added in brackets for some water bodies recommended for removal from the Section 303(d) list. See the fact sheets for these water bodies for further information.

⁷Regional Board staff completed draft Basin Plan amendments incorporating a phosphorus TMDL for Indian Creek Reservoir in November 2000. The Regional Board has been unable to act on these amendments due to lack of a quorum for a vote.

⁸Some waters were listed based on Toxic Substances Monitoring Program (TSMP) fish tissue data. Because sample numbers were small, TSMP data alone are not considered sufficient grounds for listing.